



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/750,018

12/31/2003

Chung-I Lee

9355

25859 7590 04/02/2007  
WEI TE CHUNG  
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
1650 MEMOREX DRIVE  
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050

EXAMINER

DARNO, PATRICK A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2163

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/02/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

|                          |                        |  |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/750,018             |  | LEE ET AL.          |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        |  | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Binh V. Ho             |  | 2163                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Binh V. Ho (Examiner). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wei Chung. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Amendment.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In the amendment filed Feb 09, 2006, Applicant said, "As indicated on page 4 of the current Office Action, Zinda fails to disclose or teach data on a patent classification mode, a time mode, and a time range as originally recited in claim 3". However, Applicant did not amend claim 3 into claim 1 (A message was left on March 02, 2007 explaining the above statement).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required